

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,264	12/14/2000	Howard Thomas	CE30148P	3811
75	90 05/19/2006		EXAMINER	
Jonathan P Meyer			SMITH, SHEILA B	
Motorola Inc Inc	tellectual Property Section	on		
Law Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1303 East Algonquin Road			2617	
Schaumburg, IL 60196			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/673,264	THOMAS ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sheila B. Smith	2617	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failus Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPERIOR STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind If will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ris action is non-final.		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12,13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the period of the	rawn from consideration. For election requirement. Therefore consideration is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the least of the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 09/673,264

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 12,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pike (GB 2306855) in view of Henry et al. (U.S Patent Number 5,845,215).

Regarding claims 12,13, Pike discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Pike discloses a cellular radio communication system. In addition Pike discloses a base station operating in a communication system (1) comprising a group of cells (2,3) each cell of the group of cells being operable to simulcast an identical common simulcast broadcast carrier (which reads on area wide communication channel) carrying signaling information common for the group of cells on a broadcast carrier frequency common for the group of cells, at least a first cell (2) being associated with a first traffic carrier (which reads on cell wide communication channel) not common for the group of cells, wherein at least a first mobile station (18) is arranged to intermittently perform an intracell handover to the broadcast carrier (which reads on page 2 lines 14-19), and means situated in a fixed part (which reads on base station 17) of the network for performing measurements of the radio environment when the mobile station (18) is using the broadcast carrier (as exhibited in figure 1 and which reads on page 2 lines 22-27), determine the surrounding cell that offers the best connection for the mobile station for a traffic carrier (which reads on cell wide communication channel and

page 5 lines 12-19). However Pike fails to disclose the use of a common simulcast broadcast carrier.

In the same field of endeavor, Henry et al. further discloses a operating mobile stations of wireless communication systems in multiple modes by external control. In addition Henry et al. discloses the use of a common simulcast broadcast carrier (such as a broadcast control channel or BCCH) as disclosed in column 5 lines 15-20.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Pike by modifying a cellular radio communication system with the use of a common simulcast broadcast carrier as taught by Henry et al. for the purpose of allowing the MS to read a minimum amount of information.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-11 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (571)272-7847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/673,264 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPPRISORY PATENT EXAMINER